



TITLE:

On 2-D Euler equations with initial vorticity in bmo (Harmonic Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations)

AUTHOR(S):

Taniuchi, Yasushi

CITATION:

Taniuchi, Yasushi. On 2-D Euler equations with initial vorticity in bmo (Harmonic Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations). 数理解析研究所講究録 2004, 1389: 120-134

ISSUE DATE:

2004-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/25823>

RIGHT:

On 2-D Euler equations with initial vorticity in \mathbf{bmo}

信州大学理学部 谷内 靖 (Yasushi TANIUCHI)

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Shinshu University, Matsumoto 390-8621

INTRODUCTION.

In this paper we consider a two-dimensional ideal incompressible fluid described by the Euler equations:

$$(E) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, & \operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in } x \in \mathbb{R}^2, t \in (0, T), \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \end{cases}$$

where $u = (u^1(x, t), u^2(x, t))$ and $p = p(x, t)$ denote the unknown velocity vector and the unknown pressure of the fluid at the point $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T)$, respectively, while $a = (a^1(x), a^2(x))$ is the given initial velocity vector. In this paper we consider a *nondecaying* initial data $u_0 \in L^\infty$ with initial vorticity $\omega_0 = \operatorname{rot} u_0 \in \mathbf{bmo}$. (Here $\mathbf{bmo} = \mathbf{BMO} \cap L^1_{\text{unif.loc}}$).

Many researchers have investigated the 2 dimensional Euler equations when the initial data has the decay property: $|u(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and $|\omega_0(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ in some sense. For example, Di Perna-Majda[15] showed that if $\omega_0 = \operatorname{rot} u_0 \in L^1 \cap L^p$ for $1 < p < \infty$, then there exist a weak solution u on $[0, \infty)$ with

$$u \in L^\infty(0, \infty; W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad \omega = \operatorname{rot} u \in L^\infty(0, \infty; L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

It is notable that Giga-Miyakawa-Osada[19] proved the similar result to [15] without the assumption $\omega_0 \in L^1$ by using a different method. Chae[7] proved that if $\omega_0 \in L \log L (\subset L^1)$, then there exist a weak solution u on $[0, \infty)$ with

$$u \in L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

Concerning the uniqueness theorem, Yudovich[38] showed that a solution u satisfying

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2), \quad \omega = \operatorname{rot} u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty)$$

is uniquely determined by the initial data u_0 . Moreover, in [39], he proved the uniqueness theorem for unbounded vorticity $\operatorname{rot} u$. He showed that, for the Euler equations in a bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n , a solution u satisfying

$$\omega = \operatorname{rot} u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \quad \operatorname{rot} u \in L^\infty(0, T; V^\Theta)$$

is uniquely determined by the initial data u_0 . Here V^Θ was introduced by Yudovich, is wider than $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and includes $\log^+ \log^+(1/|x|)$. For the detail see [39]. Recently, Vishik showed the new uniqueness theorem for the solutions to (E) in the n -dimensional whole space \mathbb{R}^n . He proved that the uniqueness holds in the class

$$(0.1) \quad \omega \in L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)) \quad \text{for some } 1 < p < n,$$

where B_Γ is a space of Besov type and wider than $B^0_{\infty, \infty}$ and \mathbf{bmo} . Moreover, in the case $n = 2$, he also proved that global existence of solutions to (E) in the class (0.1). However, for his global existence theorem, he imposed the slightly strong assumption on the initial vorticity ω_0 : $\omega_0 \in B_{\Gamma'}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where $B_{\Gamma'}$ is strictly smaller

than B_T and can not include \mathbf{bmo} . He also imposed the integrability condition on ω_0 : $\omega_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for some $1 < p < 2$. That is, he assumed that the initial vorticity ω_0 decays at infinity in some sense.

On the other hand, flows having *nondecaying* velocity at infinity are not only physically but also mathematically interesting. In this case, it is known that there exists a solution to the Euler equations which blows up in finite time. See e.g. Constantin[12]. Concerning bounded initial data with bounded vorticity, Serfati[30] proved the unique global existence of solution to (E) in \mathbb{R}^2 with initial data $(u_0, \omega_0) \in L^\infty \times L^\infty$ without any integrability condition. (In [29] he had proved it for the initial data $u_0 \in C^{1+\alpha}$.) In this paper, we improve his global existence theorem. We show that there exists a *global* solution to (E) in \mathbb{R}^2 with initial data $(u_0, \omega_0) \in L^\infty \times \mathbf{bmo}$. In [30], the well-known a-priori estimate $\|\omega(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^\infty}$ plays important role. However, it seems to be difficult to establish the corresponding estimate in \mathbf{bmo} . To overcome this difficulty, we introduce the uniformly localized version of Yudovich's space which is wider than \mathbf{bmo} and we establish L_{ul}^p -estimate for solutions to the 2-D vorticity equation.

It is notable that, with respect to the Navier-Stokes equations, Cannon-Knightly[5], Cannone[6] and Giga-Inui-Matsui[17] proved the local existence of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with initial velocity $u_0 \in L^\infty$. Recently Giga-Matsui-Sawada[18] proved the global existence of solutions to the 2-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

Before presenting our results, we give some definitions. Let $B(x, r)$ denote the ball centered at x of radius r and let

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{p;\Omega} &\equiv \left(\int_{y \in \Omega} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p}, \\ \|f\|_{p,\lambda} &\equiv \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} (\|f\|_{p;B(x,\lambda)}) = \sup_x \left(\int_{|x-y| < \lambda} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p}, \\ L_{ul}^p &\equiv L_{unif,loc}^p = \{f \in L_{loc}^1; \|f\|_{p,1} < \infty\}, \\ \|f\|_{L_{ul}^p} &\equiv \|f\|_{p,1} = \sup_x \left(\int_{|x-y| < 1} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

For $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$, let $C^{m+\alpha}$ denote the uniform Hölder space: $\left\{ f; \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \|\partial^\beta f\|_\infty + \sum_{|\beta|=m} \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|\partial^\beta f(x) - \partial^\beta f(y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha} < \infty \right\}$.

We first introduce the definition of weak solution to (E) as follows:

DEFINITION 1. (WEAK SOLUTION)

u is called weak solution to (E) on $[0, T]$ with initial data u_0 , if u satisfies the

following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]), \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}', \\ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ -u \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi - u^k u^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} \varphi^k \right\} dx dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_0 \cdot \varphi(0) dx \\ &\text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2) \text{ with } \nabla \cdot \varphi = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we recall the Littlewood-Paley decomposition $\psi, \phi_j \in \mathcal{S}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \hat{\psi} &\subset \{|\xi| < 1\}, \quad \text{supp } \hat{\phi} \subset \{1/2 < |\xi| < 2\}, \quad \phi_j(x) = 2^{2j} \phi(2^j x) \\ (1.2) \quad 1 &= \hat{\psi}(\xi) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}_j(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}_j(\xi) \quad (\xi \neq 0), \end{aligned}$$

where \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f .

We state the Besov spaces.

DEFINITION 2 (Besov Space cf. [2]). The inhomogeneous and homogeneous Besov spaces $B_{p,\rho}^s$ and $\dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s$ are defined as follows.

$$B_{p,\rho}^s \equiv \{f \in \mathcal{S}'; \|f\|_{B_{p,\rho}^s} < \infty\}, \quad \dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s \equiv \{f \in \mathcal{S}'; \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,\rho}^s} = \|\psi * f\|_p + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|2^{js} \phi_j * f\|_p^\rho \right)^{1/\rho}, \quad \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s} = \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \|2^{js} \phi_j * f\|_p^\rho \right)^{1/\rho},$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p, \rho \leq \infty$. While $B_{p,\rho}^s$ is a Banach space, $\dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s$ is a semi-normed space, since

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s} = 0 \text{ if and only if } f \text{ is a polynomial.}$$

It is notable that there holds

$$(1.3) \quad \{f \in \mathcal{S}'; \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s} < \infty, f = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_j * f \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'\} \cong \dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s / \mathcal{P},$$

if

$$(1.4) \quad s < n/p, \quad \text{or} \quad s = n/p \text{ and } \rho = 1,$$

for the detail see [23]. Here \mathcal{P} denotes the set of all polynomials. Hence when s, p, ρ satisfy (1.4), we may modify the definition of Besov space as

$$(1.5) \quad \dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s \equiv \{f \in \mathcal{S}'; \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s} < \infty, f = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_j * f \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'\}.$$

From now on we use (1.5) as the definition of $\dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s$ when s, p, ρ satisfy (1.4). Then if s, p, ρ satisfy (1.4), $\dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s$ is a Banach space and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s} = 0 \text{ if and only if } f = 0 \text{ in } S'.$$

Next we state the Riesz operator $R_k = \partial_k(-\Delta)^{-1/2}$ ($k = 1, 2$) on Besov spaces. Let s, p, ρ satisfy (1.4) and let $f \in \dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s$. Then $R_k f$ can be defined by

$$(1.6) \quad R_k f \equiv \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (R_k \tilde{\phi}_j) * \phi_j * f \text{ in } S'$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_j = \phi_{j-1} + \phi_j + \phi_{j+1}$. We note that $\hat{\tilde{\phi}}_j \hat{\phi}_j = \hat{\phi}_j$. Using this definition, we see that R_k is a bounded operator in $\dot{B}_{p,\rho}^s$ as a subspace of S' , if s, p, ρ satisfy (1.4). In particular, R_k is bounded in $\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^0$.

We introduced the space of **bmo**. For the detail, see e.g. [34]

DEFINITION 3. $\mathbf{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space defined as a set for an $L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ function f such that

$$(1.7) \quad \|f\|_{\mathbf{bmo}} \equiv \sup_{0 < r < 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y) - \bar{f}_{B(x, r)}| dy \\ + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B(x, 1)|} \int_{B(x, 1)} |f(y)| dy < \infty,$$

where \bar{f}_B stands for the average of f over B : $|B|^{-1} \int_B f(y) dy$.

Yudovich introduced some function space to prove the uniqueness theorem for the Euler equations. Here we introduce a slightly modified version of his space.

DEFINITION 4. (YUDOVICH)

Let $\Theta(p) \geq 1$ be a nondecaying function on $[1, \infty)$.

$Y^\Theta(\Omega) \equiv \{f \in \cap_{1 \leq p < \infty} L^p(\Omega); \|f\|_{Y^\Theta(\Omega)} < \infty\}$, where

$$\|f\|_{Y^\Theta(\Omega)} \equiv \sup_{p \geq 1} \frac{\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}{\Theta(p)}.$$

We note that $\log^+(1/|x|) \in Y^\Theta(\Omega)$, when $\Theta(p) = p$. Moreover, if Ω is a bounded domain, then $L^\infty(\Omega) \subset Y^\Theta(\Omega)$, since $\Theta(p) \geq 1$.

However, when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and **bmo** can not be included in $Y^\Theta(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We want to consider wider spaces than **bmo** and L^∞ . So we introduce a uniformly localized version of Y^Θ as follows.

DEFINITION 5.

Let $\Theta(p) \geq 1$ be a nondecaying function on $[1, \infty)$.

$Y_{ul}^\Theta(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \{f \in \bigcap_{1 \leq p < \infty} L_{ul}^p(\mathbb{R}^n); \|f\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty\}$, where

$$\|f\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \sup_{p \geq 1} \frac{\|f\|_{L_{ul}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\Theta(p)},$$

$$\|f\|_{L_{ul}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{|y-x| \leq 1} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p}.$$

Then obviously there holds

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset Y_{ul}^\Theta(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, we observe that

$$(1.8) \quad \mathbf{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset Y_{ul}^\Theta(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{ when } \Theta(p) = p.$$

Now we state our main theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Theta(p) = p$. Assume $u_0 \in L^\infty$ and $\omega_0 = \text{rot } u_0 \in Y_{ul}^\Theta(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then there exists a weak solution to (E) on $[0, \infty)$ in the class*

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} u &\in C([0, \infty); L^\infty) \\ \text{rot } u &\in L_{loc}^\infty([0, \infty); Y_{ul}^\Theta) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\|u(t)\|_\infty \leq (\|u_0\|_\infty + 1) \exp\{C \exp(Ct(\|u_0\|_\infty + 1)(\|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta} + 1)^2)\} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

From (1.8), obviously we obtain

Corollary 1.2. *Assume $u_0 \in L^\infty$ and $\omega_0 \in \mathbf{bmo}$. Then there exists a weak solution to (E) on $[0, \infty)$ in the class*

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} u &\in C([0, \infty); L^\infty) \\ \text{rot } u &\in L_{loc}^\infty([0, \infty); Y_{ul}^\Theta) \quad (\Theta(p) = p) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\|u(t)\|_\infty \leq (\|u_0\|_\infty + 1) \exp\{C \exp(Ct(\|u_0\|_\infty + 1)(\|\omega_0\|_{\mathbf{bmo}} + 1)^2)\} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Remarks 1. (i) We can generalize Theorem 1.1 as follows. For

$$\Theta(p) = p \cdot \underbrace{\log(e+p) \cdot \log(e+\log(e+p)) \cdot \log(e+\log(e+\log(e+p))) \cdots}_{k \text{ times iterated}},$$

Theorem 1 holds with the estimate:

$$(1.11) \quad \|u(t)\|_\infty \leq C\|u_0\|_\infty \underbrace{\exp C \exp C \cdots \exp}_{k+2 \text{ times}}\{Ct(\|u_0\|_\infty + 1)(\|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta} + 1)^2\}.$$

We note that if $\Theta(p) = 1$ ($Y_{ul}^\Theta = L^\infty$), then there holds the *single* exponential estimate

$$(1.12) \quad \|u(t)\|_\infty \leq \|u_0\|_\infty \exp(t\|\omega_0\|_\infty),$$

which was already proved by Serfati[30]. We can show that these estimates (1.11) and (1.12) hold for the solution to the Navier-Stokes equations, too. (See [32] and [28]).

(iii) We should note what condition on ω_0 guarantees $u_0 \in L^\infty$. If we assume that $\omega_0 \in Y_{ul}^\Theta \cap \dot{B}_{\infty,1}^{-1}$, then u_0 belongs to L^∞ . For example, if $\omega_0(x) = \sin(\sqrt{2}x_1) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (-1)^{k_1} (-1)^{k_2} \log^+(1/|x-k|) + (1+|x|^2)^{-6}$, then $u_0 \in L^\infty$ and $\omega_0 \in \mathbf{bmo}$.

The following lemma plays crucial roll in proving Theorems 1.1.

Lemma 1.3 (Uniformly local L^p estimate for the vorticity equation). *Let $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$, $a \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ with $\nabla \cdot a = 0$ and let $v \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2))$ be a solution to the 2 dimensional vorticity equation*

$$(1.13) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v - \nu \Delta v + a \cdot \nabla v = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T), \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0.$$

Then there holds for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $p \geq 2$

$$(1.14) \quad \|v(t)\|_{L_{ul}^p} \leq eC^{1/p} \left(1 + t(1 + \sup_{0 < \tau < t} \|a(\tau)\|_\infty) \right)^{2/p} \|v_0\|_{L_{ul}^p} \quad (2 \leq p \leq \infty),$$

where C is an absolute constant (independent of ν, p, t, T, a and v).

Proposition 1.4. (i) *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$. then there holds*

$$(1.15) \quad \|\phi * f\|_\infty \leq C \|f\|_{1,1} \quad \text{for all } f \in L_{ul}^1,$$

where C is independent of f .

(ii) *If $m \geq 1$, then*

$$\|f\|_{q,m,\lambda} \leq (2m^2)^{1/q} \|f\|_{q,\lambda} \quad \text{for all } f \in L_{ul}^q(\mathbb{R}^2), \lambda > 0.$$

Proposition 1.5. *Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ and let $f \in L_{ul}^q(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then there holds*

$$(1.16) \quad \|\phi_j * f\|_\infty \leq \begin{cases} C 2^{2j/q} \|f\|_{q,1} & \text{for all } j \geq 0, \\ C \|f\|_{q,1} & \text{for all } j \leq -1, \end{cases}$$

where C is independent of q, j and f . Here $\phi_j(\cdot) = 2^{2j} \phi(2^j \cdot)$.

2. PROOF OF L_{ul}^p ESTIMATE FOR THE 2-D VORTICITY EQUATION

In this section we sketch the proof of Lemma 1.3. We first fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\lambda \geq 1$.

Let $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\rho(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 2 \end{cases}$, $\|\nabla \rho\|_\infty \leq 2$, $\|\Delta \rho\|_\infty \leq 4$ and let $\rho_{x,\lambda}(y) = \rho(\frac{y-x}{\lambda})$. We easily see that

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_{x,\lambda} v) - \nu \Delta(\rho_{x,\lambda} v) + a \cdot \nabla(\rho_{x,\lambda} v) = -\nu 2 \nabla \rho_{x,\lambda} \cdot \nabla v - \nu (\Delta \rho_{x,\lambda}) v + a \cdot (\nabla \rho_{x,\lambda}) v.$$

Taking inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ between (2.17) and $|\rho_{x,\lambda}v|^{p-2}\rho_{x,\lambda}v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \|\rho_{x,\lambda}v\|_p^p + \nu(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(\rho_{x,\lambda}v)|^2 |\rho_{x,\lambda}v|^{p-2} dy \\
 & \leq 2\nu \|\nabla \rho_{x,\lambda}\|_\infty \|\nabla v\| |\rho_{x,\lambda}v|^{(p-2)/2} \| |\rho_{x,\lambda}v|^{p/2} \|_2 \\
 & \quad + (\nu \|\Delta \rho_{x,\lambda}\|_\infty + \|a \cdot \nabla \rho_{x,\lambda}\|_\infty) \int_{\text{supp } \rho_{x,\lambda}} |v|^p dy \\
 (2.18) \quad & \leq 2\epsilon\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 |\rho_{x,\lambda}v|^{p-2} dy \\
 & \quad + \left(\frac{2\nu}{\epsilon} \|\nabla \rho_{x,\lambda}\|_\infty^2 + \nu \|\Delta \rho_{x,\lambda}\|_\infty + \|a \cdot \nabla \rho_{x,\lambda}\|_\infty \right) \int_{\text{supp } \rho_{x,\lambda}} |v|^p dy \\
 & \leq 2\epsilon\nu \int_{B(x,2\lambda)} |\nabla v|^2 |v|^{p-2} dy + \left(\frac{8\nu}{\epsilon\lambda^2} + \frac{4\nu}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2\|a\|_\infty}{\lambda} \right) \|v\|_{p,2\lambda}^p \quad (\text{for all } \epsilon > 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\rho_{x,\lambda}v(t)\|_p^p + \nu p(p-1) \int_0^t \int_{B(x,\lambda)} |\nabla v|^2 |v|^{p-2} dy \\
 & \leq \|v_0\|_{p,B(x,2\lambda)}^p + 2p\epsilon\nu \int_0^t \int_{B(x,2\lambda)} |\nabla v(\tau)|^2 |v|^{p-2} dy d\tau \\
 & \quad + p \left(\frac{8\nu}{\epsilon\lambda^2} + \frac{4\nu}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2 \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|a(\tau)\|_\infty}{\lambda} \right) \int_0^t \|v(\tau)\|_{p,2\lambda}^p d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum of the above inequality over $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|v(\tau)\|_{p,\lambda}^p + \nu p(p-1) \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^t \int_{B(x,\lambda)} |\nabla v|^2 |v|^{p-2} dy \\
 (2.19) \quad & \leq 8 \left\{ \|v_0\|_{p,\lambda}^p + 2p\epsilon\nu \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^t \int_{B(x,\lambda)} |\nabla v(\tau)|^2 |v|^{p-2} dy d\tau \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + p \left(\frac{8\nu}{\epsilon\lambda^2} + \frac{4\nu}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2 \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|a(\tau)\|_\infty}{\lambda} \right) \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^t \|v(\tau)\|_{p,\lambda}^p d\tau \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^t \int_{B(x,2\lambda)} |f| dy d\tau \right) \leq 8 \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_0^t \int_{B(x,\lambda)} |f| dy d\tau \right).$$

Hence, letting $\epsilon = 1/16$, we obtain

$$\|v(\tau)\|_{p,\lambda}^p \leq 8 \left\{ \|v_0\|_{p,\lambda}^p + p \left(\frac{132\nu}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2 \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|a(\tau)\|_\infty}{\lambda} \right) \int_0^t \|v(\tau)\|_{p,\lambda}^p d\tau \right\},$$

which and Gronwall's inequality yield

$$\|v(t)\|_{p,\lambda}^p \leq 8 \|v_0\|_{p,\lambda}^p \exp \left\{ 8 p t \left(\frac{132\nu}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2 \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|a(\tau)\|_\infty}{\lambda} \right) \right\}.$$

By Proposition 1.4 (ii) we have

$$\|v(t)\|_{p,1} \leq \|v(t)\|_{p,\lambda} \leq (16\lambda^2)^{1/p} \|v_0\|_{p,1} \exp \left\{ 8t \left(\frac{132\nu}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2 \sup_{0 < \tau < t} \|a(\tau)\|_\infty}{\lambda} \right) \right\}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$ and all $\lambda \geq 1$. Now let $\lambda = 1 + 8t(132\nu + 2 \sup_{0 < \tau < t} \|a(\tau)\|_\infty)$. Then there holds

$$\|v(t)\|_{p,1} \leq e(16)^{1/p} \{1 + 8t(132\nu + 2 \sup_{0 < \tau < t} \|a(\tau)\|_\infty)\}^{2/p} \|v_0\|_{p,1},$$

which is the desired estimate (1.14).

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We show the existence of solution to (E) by establishing an a-priori estimate for an approximate solution sequence generated by mollifying initial data. In Step 1, we establish an a-priori estimate for regular solution. In Step 2, we discuss the limit of approximate solution sequence. (We can also show the existence of solution to (E) by the zero diffusion limit of the Navier-Stokes equations, i.e., the vanishing viscosity limit. See Remark 2 in this section.)

Step 1. Let $m = 1, 2, \dots$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Serfati[29] proved that there exist the unique global solution $(u, \nabla p)$ of (E) in $C([0, \infty); C^{m+\alpha}) \times C([0, \infty); C^{m+\alpha})$ for the initial data $u_0 \in C^{m+\alpha}$ with $p(x)/|x| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$.

We first assume $u_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and construct an a-priori estimate for the global solution with $u_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let (u, p) be the global regular solution to (E) with $u_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ given by Serfati[29]. Then it satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0 \text{ on } t \in [0, \infty)$$

and hence

$$(3.20) \quad u(t) - u(s) = - \int_s^t [(u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau) + \nabla p(\tau)] d\tau \quad \text{in } L^\infty.$$

Moreover Serfati[29] proved that p have the following representation:

$$\nabla p = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\nabla(\rho \log |\cdot|)) * \partial_i \partial_j u^i u^j + \frac{1}{2\pi} (\partial_i \partial_j \nabla(1 - \rho) \log |\cdot|) * u^i u^j$$

for $\rho \in C_0^\infty$ with $\rho(x) = 1$ near the origin $x = 0$. Now, using the Riesz operator R_k on $\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^0$, (see (1.6)) we define the Helmholtz operator

$$P = (P_{ij})_{i,j=1,2} = (\delta_{ij} + R_i R_j).$$

The boundedness of R_k in $\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^0$ implies that P is also bounded in $\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^0$. Since $u \cdot \nabla u = \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u) \in C([0, \infty); \dot{B}_{\infty,1}^0)$, we easily see that

$$(3.21) \quad u(t) = u(s) - \int_s^t P(u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{in } L^\infty.$$

The Littlewood-Paley decomposition (1.2) is easily generalized as follows:

$$(3.22) \quad 1 = \hat{\psi}_N(\xi) + \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}_j(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2, N = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots).$$

Here $\psi_N(x) = 2^{2N}\psi(2^N x)$. Then by (3.22) we have

$$(3.23) \quad \|u\|_{\infty} \leq \|\psi_N * u\|_{\infty} + \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \|\phi_j * u\|_{\infty} \equiv I_1 + I_2 \quad (N = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots).$$

From (3.21) we see

$$\psi_N * u(t) = \psi_N * u(s) + \int_s^t \psi_N * (P\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\tau) d\tau,$$

where $u \otimes u = (u^i u^j)_{i,j=1,2}$ and $\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_i (u^i u)$. Hence we obtain

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= \|\psi_N * u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq \|\psi_N * u(s)\|_{\infty} + \int_s^t \|(\nabla P\psi_N) * (u \otimes u)(\tau)\|_{\infty} d\tau \\ &\leq C_0 \|u(s)\|_{\infty} + C_1 2^N \int_s^t \|u(\tau)\|_{\infty}^2 d\tau, \quad (0 \leq s \leq t) \end{aligned}$$

since $\|\nabla P\psi_N\|_{L^1} \leq \|\nabla P\psi_N\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq \|\nabla \psi_N\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq C2^N$. Here $C_0 = \|\psi\|_{L^1} (\geq \hat{\psi}(0) = 1)$, $C_1 = \|\nabla \psi\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}$ and \mathcal{H}^1 denotes Hardy space. (The above estimate for I_1 is essentially due to [30], although the Littlewood-Paley decomposition was not utilized in [30]. See also [32].)

To estimate $I_2 = \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \|\phi_j * u(t)\|_{\infty}$, we use the Biot-Savart Law:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \omega, -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \omega \right) = -\Delta u,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j * u &= \left((-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \phi_j * \omega, -(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \phi_j * \omega \right) \\ \|\phi_j * u\|_{\infty} &\leq C 2^{-j} \|\phi_j * \omega\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $p \geq 2 + \varepsilon$. Then by the above inequality and Proposition 1.5 we have

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \|\phi_j * u(t)\|_{\infty} &\leq C \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \|\phi_j * \omega(t)\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C 2^{-(1-2/p)N} \|\omega(t)\|_{L_{ul}^p} & \text{if } N \geq 0 \\ C 2^{-N} \|\omega(t)\|_{L_{ul}^p} & \text{if } N \leq -1 \end{cases} \\ &\leq C 2^{-N} \max\{2^{2N/p}, 1\} \|\omega(t)\|_{L_{ul}^p}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C depends only on ε . Hence by Lemma 1.3 we observe that

$$(3.26) \quad \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \|\phi_j * u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq C 2^{-N} \max\{2^{2N/p}, 1\} \left(1 + (t-s)(1 + \sup_{s \leq \tau \leq t} \|u(s)\|_{\infty})\right)^{2/p} \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p}$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq t$, all $p \geq 2 + \varepsilon$ and all $N = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. Gathering the estimates (3.24) and (3.26) with (3.23), we obtain

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{\infty} &\leq C_0 \|u(s)\|_{\infty} + C_1 2^N \int_s^t \|u(s)\|_{\infty}^2 ds \\ &\quad + C 2^{-N} \max\{2^{2N/p}, 1\} \left(1 + (t-s)(1 + \sup_{s \leq \tau \leq t} \|u(s)\|_{\infty})\right)^{2/p} \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p} \\ &\quad (0 \leq s \leq t, p \geq 2 + \varepsilon, N = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Let $g(t) \equiv 1 + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|u(s)\|_{\infty}$. Then (3.27) yields

$$(3.28) \quad g(t) \leq C_0 g(s) + C_1 2^N g(t)^2 (t-s) + C 2^{-N} \max\{2^{2N/p}, 1\} g(t)^{2/p} \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p}$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq t \leq s+1$.

Now we fix $s \in [0, \infty)$. Since $g(t)$ is a continuous function and since $2C_0 > 1$, there holds $g(t) \leq 2C_0 g(s)$ for t which is sufficiently close to s . Let

$$T_1(s) \equiv \sup\{\tau \geq 0; g(s+\tau) \leq 2C_0 g(s)\}.$$

(Since g is a nondecreasing function, $g(t) \leq 2C_0 g(s)$ for all $s \leq t \leq s+T_1(s)$.) Then (3.28) yields

$$(3.29) \quad g(t) \leq C_0 g(s) + 4C_0^2 C_1 2^N g(s)^2 (t-s) + C_2 2^{-N} \max\{2^{2N/p}, 1\} g(s)^{2/p} \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p}$$

for all $s \leq t \leq s + \min\{1, T_1(s)\}$ and all $p \geq 2 + \varepsilon$. Here the constant $C_2 = C_2(\varepsilon)$ depends only on ε . Now we choose N suitably as follows.

When $\|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p} = 0$, letting $N \rightarrow -\infty$, we have $g(t) \leq C_0 g(s)$ for all $t \in [s, s + \min\{1, T_1(s)\}]$.

When $\frac{C_0 g(s)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}}{8C_2 \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p}} \leq 1$, we choose $N \geq 0$ such as $2^{-(1-2/p)N} \sim \frac{C_0 g(s)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}}{8C_2 \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p}}$.

When $\frac{C_0 g(s)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}}{8C_2 \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p}} > 1$, we choose $N \leq -1$ such as $2^{-N} \sim \frac{C_0 g(s)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}}{8C_2 \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p}}$.

Then we have

$$g(t) \leq C_0 g(s) + C_3(\varepsilon) \max\left\{\|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p}^{\frac{p}{p-2}}, \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p} g(s)^{\frac{2}{p}}\right\} g(s)(t-s) + \frac{C_0}{8} g(s)$$

for all $s \leq t \leq s + \min\{1, T_1(s)\}$ and hence

(3.30)

$$g(t) < \frac{13}{8} C_0 g(s)$$

$$\text{for all } s \leq t \leq s + \min\left\{\frac{C_0}{2C_3 \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p}^{p/(p-2)}}, \frac{C_0}{2C_3 g(s)^{2/p} \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p}}, 1, T_1(s)\right\}.$$

Here the constant C_3 depends only on ε . Obviously from the definition of $T_1(s)$ we obtain

$$T_1(s) > \min \left\{ \frac{C_0}{2C_3 \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^{p/(p-2)}}^{p/(p-2)}}, \frac{C_0}{2C_3 g(s)^{2/p} \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p}}, 1 \right\}$$

and hence
(3.31)

$$g(t) \leq 2C_0 g(s) \text{ for all } s \leq t \leq s + \min \left\{ \frac{C_0}{2C_3(\varepsilon) \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^{p/(p-2)}}^{p/(p-2)}}, \frac{C_0}{2C_3(\varepsilon) g(s)^{2/p} \|\omega(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p}}, 1 \right\}.$$

We note that this estimate holds for all $s \geq 0$ and $p \geq 2 + \varepsilon$.

Let $\varepsilon = 2$. Set $p_k = k + 4$ and $\{t_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be the increasing sequence defined by

$$t_0 \equiv 0$$

$$t_{k+1} - t_k \equiv \min \left\{ \frac{C_0}{2C_3 \|\omega(t_k)\|_{L_{ul}^{p_k/(p_k-2)}}^{p_k/(p_k-2)}}, \frac{C_0}{2C_3 g(t_k)^{2/p_k} \|\omega(t_k)\|_{L_{ul}^{p_k}}}, 1 \right\}.$$

Then by (3.31) we have

$$(3.32) \quad g(t_k) \leq 2C_0 g(t_{k-1}) \leq \cdots \leq (2C_0)^k g(0)$$

and hence

$$(3.33) \quad g(t_k)^{2/p_k} \leq ((2C_0)^k g(0))^{2/(k+4)} \leq C g(0)^{2/(k+4)} \leq C g(0)^{1/2}.$$

Since $t_k \leq k$, by Lemma 1.3 and (3.33) we see

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega(t_k)\|_{L_{ul}^{p_k}} &\leq C \left(1 + t_k \left(1 + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t_k} \|u(\tau)\|_\infty \right) \right)^{2/p_k} \|\omega_0\|_{L_{ul}^{p_k}} \\ &\leq C [2k g(t_k)]^{2/p_k} \|\omega_0\|_{L_{ul}^{p_k}} \\ &\leq C g(0)^{1/2} \|\omega_0\|_{L_{ul}^{p_k}} \leq C g(0)^{1/2} p_k \|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we observe that

$$t_{k+1} - t_k \geq \frac{C}{g(0)(\|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta} + 1)^2} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{p_k^{p_k/(p_k-2)}}, \frac{1}{p_k} \right\} \geq \frac{C}{g(0)(\|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta} + 1)^2} \left(\frac{1}{k+4} \right)^{\frac{k+4}{k+2}},$$

$$t_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (t_j - t_{j-1}) + t_0 \geq \frac{C}{g(0)(\|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta} + 1)^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{j+3} \right)^{\frac{j+3}{j+1}} \geq \frac{C \log k}{g(0)(\|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta} + 1)^2}$$

and hence

$$g \left(\frac{C \log k}{g(0)(\|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta} + 1)^2} \right) \leq g(t_k) \leq (2C_0)^k g(0) \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

which implies

$$(3.34) \quad \|u(t)\|_\infty \leq (\|u_0\|_\infty + 1) \exp(C \exp C t (\|u_0\|_\infty + 1)(\|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta} + 1)^2).$$

Moreover from Lemma 1.3 we easily obtain

$$(3.35) \quad \|\omega(t)\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta} \leq C(1 + t(1 + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|u(\tau)\|_\infty)) \|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta}$$

for $u_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}$. Here C is an absolute constant.

Step 2. Next, we consider the case $u_0 \notin C^{2+\alpha}$. That is, we assume only $u_0 \in L^\infty$ and $\omega_0 \in Y_{ul}^\Theta$. Let $\rho \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 1))$, $\rho \geq 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho dy = 1$ and $\rho_\epsilon = \epsilon^{-2} \rho(x/\epsilon)$. Then we easily see that $\|\rho_\epsilon * f\|_{p,1} \leq 8 \|f\|_{p,1}$ for $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Let $u_0^\epsilon = \rho_\epsilon * u_0$, $\omega_0^\epsilon = \text{rot}(u_0^\epsilon) = \rho_\epsilon * \omega_0$. Then $u_0^\epsilon \in C^{2+\alpha}$ and

$$(3.36) \quad \|u_0^\epsilon\|_\infty \leq \|u_0\|_\infty, \quad \|\omega_0^\epsilon\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta} \leq 8 \|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta}.$$

Now we consider the solution u^ϵ to (E) with the initial data $u_0^\epsilon \in C^{2+\alpha}$ and the limit of u^ϵ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. From (3.34), (3.35) and (3.36) we see that there exists locally bounded function $M(t) \in C([0, \infty))$ depending only on $\|u_0\|_\infty$ and $\|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta}$ such that

$$\|u^\epsilon(t)\|_\infty + \|\omega^\epsilon(t)\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta} \leq M(t)$$

for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Here $\omega^\epsilon = \text{rot}(u^\epsilon)$. Let $0 < \delta < 1$. Since $C^\alpha = B_{\infty,\infty}^\alpha$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$, (see [34],) in the same way as in (3.25) we have for all $0 < \epsilon < 1$

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u^\epsilon(t)\|_{C^{1-\delta}} &\leq C \|u^\epsilon(t)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{1-\delta}} \leq C(\|u^\epsilon(t)\|_\infty + \|\omega^\epsilon(t)\|_{2/\delta,1}) \\ &\leq C(\|u^\epsilon(t)\|_\infty + \|\omega^\epsilon(t)\|_{Y_{ul}^\Theta}) \leq CM(t). \end{aligned}$$

Let the vector $(-\omega u^2, \omega u^1)$ be denoted by $\omega \times u$, for simplicity. Since $\phi_j * P(u^\epsilon \cdot \nabla u^\epsilon) = \phi_j * P(\omega^\epsilon \times u^\epsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(u^\epsilon(t) \cdot \nabla u^\epsilon(t))\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}} &= \|P \nabla \psi * u^\epsilon(t) \otimes u^\epsilon(t)\|_\infty + \sup_{j \geq 0} 2^{-\delta j} \|\phi_j * P(\omega^\epsilon(t) \times u^\epsilon(t))\|_\infty \\ &\leq C(\|u^\epsilon(t)\|_\infty^2 + \|u^\epsilon(t)\|_\infty \|\omega^\epsilon(t)\|_{2/\delta,1}) \quad (\because \|P \nabla \psi\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} < \infty) \\ &\leq CM(t)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since u^ϵ satisfies

$$(3.38) \quad u^\epsilon(t) = u^\epsilon(s) - \int_s^t P(u^\epsilon \cdot \nabla u^\epsilon)(\tau) d\tau,$$

we obtain

$$(3.39) \quad \|u^\epsilon(t) - u^\epsilon(s)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta}} \leq C|t - s| \sup_{s \leq \tau \leq t} M(\tau)^2.$$

Now we recall that

$$(3.40) \quad \|vf\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^\gamma} \leq C(v, \gamma) \|f\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^\gamma}$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $v \in C_0^\infty$ and $f \in B_{\infty,\infty}^\gamma$, see [34, p203, Theorem 4.2.2]. Let $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 2r))$ with $\varphi = 1$ on $B(0, r)$. Then by (3.37), (3.39) and (3.40) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varphi u^\epsilon(\cdot)\}_{\epsilon > 0} &\text{ is uniformly bounded in } B_{\infty,\infty}^{1-\delta} (= C^{1-\delta}) \text{ on } [0, T] \\ \{\varphi u^\epsilon(\cdot)\}_{\epsilon > 0} &\text{ is equicontinuous in } B_{\infty,\infty}^{-\delta} \text{ on } [0, T] \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < T < \infty$. Hence we observe that there exist a subsequence $\{u^{\epsilon'}\}$ of $\{u^{\epsilon}\}$ and u such that

$$(3.41) \quad u^{\epsilon'} \rightarrow u \text{ weak-}^* \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{\infty})$$

$$(3.42) \quad \varphi u^{\epsilon'} \rightarrow \varphi u^{\epsilon'} \text{ strongly in } C([0, T]; B_{\infty, \infty}^{-\delta})$$

by using the Ascoli theorem. Moreover, since

$$\|f\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{B_{\infty, 1}^0} \leq \rho \|f\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{1-\delta}} + C(\rho) \|f\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{-\delta}} \quad \text{for all } \rho > 0,$$

in the same way as in [33, p271, Theorem 2.1], we obtain that

$$\varphi u^{\epsilon'} \rightarrow \varphi u \text{ strongly in } L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{\infty}) \text{ and hence}$$

$$u^{\epsilon'} \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{\infty}(B(0, r))).$$

Then by usual argument we conclude that there exists a subsequence $\{u^{\epsilon''}\}$ of $\{u^{\epsilon'}\}$ such that

$$u^{\epsilon''} \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{\infty}(B(0, r))) \quad \text{for all } r > 0.$$

This strong convergence implies that u satisfies (ii) of Definition 2, which proves Theorem 1.1. \square

Remark 2. Let u^{ν} be a solution to the Navier-Stokes equations with viscosity ν ($0 < \nu \leq 1$):

$$(N-S) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u^{\nu}}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta u^{\nu} + u^{\nu} \cdot \nabla u^{\nu} + \nabla p^{\nu} = 0, & \operatorname{div} u^{\nu} = 0 \quad \text{in } x \in \mathbb{R}^2, t \in (0, T), \\ u^{\nu}|_{t=0} = u_0 \in L^{\infty}. \end{cases}$$

Giga-Matsui-Sawada[18] proved the existence of the unique global-in-time solution u^{ν} which satisfies

$$(3.43) \quad u^{\nu}(t) = e^{\nu t \Delta} u_0 - \int_0^t e^{\nu(t-s)\Delta} P(u^{\nu} \cdot \nabla u^{\nu})(s) ds$$

for initial data $u_0 \in L^{\infty}$ without any integrability condition. They gave a special estimate for $\|u^{\nu}(s)\|_{\infty}$. It, however, depends on ν . On the other hand, we can construct new estimate independent of ν in the same way as in Step 1 of Section 3. Indeed, since $\omega^{\nu} = \operatorname{rot} u^{\nu}$ satisfies the viscous vorticity equation: $\frac{\partial \omega^{\nu}}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta \omega^{\nu} + u^{\nu} \cdot \nabla \omega^{\nu} = 0$, $\omega^{\nu}|_{t=0} = \operatorname{rot} u_0$, by Lemma 1.3 we have

$$\|\omega^{\nu}(t)\|_{L_{ul}^p} \leq e^{C^{2/p}} \left(1 + (t-s) \sup_{s < \tau < t} \|u^{\nu}(\tau)\|_{\infty} \right)^{2/p} \|\omega^{\nu}(s)\|_{L_{ul}^p} \quad (2 \leq p \leq \infty),$$

where C is independent of ν . Hence using the method in Step 1 of Section 3 with (3.21) replaced by (3.43), we have

$$(3.44) \quad \|u^{\nu}(t)\|_{\infty} \leq (\|u_0\|_{\infty} + 1) \exp(C \exp Ct (\|u_0\|_{\infty} + 1) (\|\omega_0\|_{Y_{ul}^0} + 1)^2),$$

$$(3.45) \quad \|\omega^{\nu}(t)\|_{Y_{ul}^0} \leq C(1 + t(1 + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|u^{\nu}(\tau)\|_{\infty})) \|\operatorname{rot} u_0\|_{Y_{ul}^0},$$

where C is an absolute constant.

REFERENCES

- [1] Beale, J.T., Kato, T., Majda, A., *Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. , **94** (1984), 61-66.
- [2] Bergh, J., Löfström, J., *Interpolation spaces, An introduction*, Berlin-New York-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag 1976.
- [3] Brezis, H., Gallouet, T., *Nonlinear Schrödinger evolution equations* , Nonlinear Anal. T.M.A. , **4** (1980), 677-681.
- [4] Brezis, H., Wainger, S., *A note on limiting cases of Sobolev embedding and convolution inequalities.*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations , **5** (1987), 773-789.
- [5] Cannon, J.R., Knightly, G.H., *A note on the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., **18** (1970) 641-644.
- [6] Cannone, M., *Ondelettes, Praproducts et Navier-Stokes*, Diderot Editeur, Arts et Sciences Paris-New York-Amsterdam (1995).
- [7] Chae, D., *Weak solutions of 2D Euler equation with initial vorticity in $L \log L(R^2)$* , J. Differential Equations. **103** (1993), 323-337.
- [8] Chemin, J-Y., *Persistence de structures géométriques dans les fluides incompressible bidimensionnelles*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **26** (1993) 1-26.
- [9] Chemin, J-Y., *Perfect incompressible Fluids*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its applications, **14**, Oxford Science Publications, 1998.
- [10] Constantin, P., *Geometric statistics in turbulence*, Siam Review, **36** (1994), 73-98.
- [11] Constantin, P., *Geometric and analytic studies in turbulences*, in Trends and Perspectives in Appl. Math., L.Sirovich ed., Appl. Math. Sciences **100** (1994), Springer-Verlag.
- [12] Constantin, P., *Active Scalars and the Euler Equation*, Tatra Mountain Math. Publ., **4** (1994), 25-38.
- [13] Constantin, P., *The Euler equations Nonlocal conservative Riccati Equation*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **9** (2000), 455-465.
- [14] Constantin, P., Fefferman, C., Majda, A., *Geometric constraints on potentially singular solutions for the 3-D Euler equations.*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations , **21** (1996), 559-571.
- [15] Di Perna, R., Majda, A., *Concentrations in regularizations for 2-D incompressible flows*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **40** (1987) 301-345.
- [16] Giga, Y., Giga, M.-H., *Nonlinear Partial Differential equations*, Kyoritsu shuppan (1999) (in Japanese).
- [17] Giga, Y., Inui, K., Matsui, S., *On the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with nondecaying initial data*, Advances in fluid dynamics, Quad. Mat., **4**, Aracne, Rome, (1999), 27-68.
- [18] Giga, Y., Matsui, S., Sawada, O., *Global existence of two-dimensional Navier-Stokes flow with nondecaying initial velocity*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **3**, (2002), 302-315.
- [19] Giga, Y., Miyakawa, T., Osada, H., *Two-dimensional Navier-Stokes flow with measures as initial vorticity*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **104** (1988), 223-250.
- [20] Kato, T., Ponce, G., *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. , **41**, (1988) 891-907.
- [21] Kozono, H., Ogawa, T., Taniuchi, Y., *The critical Sobolev inequalities in Besov spaces and regularity criterion to some semi-linear evolution equations*, Math. Z. **242** (2002) 251-278.
- [22] Kozono, H., Taniuchi, Y., *Limiting case of the Sobolev inequality in BMO, with application to the Euler equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. **214** (2000) 191-200
- [23] Kozono, H., Yamazaki, M., *Semilinear heat equations and the Navier-Stokes equation with distributions in new function spaces as initial data*, Commun. in Partial Differential Eq. **19** (1994) 959-1014
- [24] Ogawa, T., Taniuchi, Y., *Remarks on uniqueness and blow-up criterion to the Euler equations in the generalized Besov spaces*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **37** (2000) 1007-1029
- [25] Ogawa, T., Taniuchi, Y., *A note on blow-up criterion to the 3-D Euler equations in a bounded domain*, to appear in J. Math. fluid Mech.
- [26] Ogawa, T., Taniuchi, Y., *On blow-up criteria of smooth solutions to the 3-D Euler equations in a bounded domain*, to appear in J. Differential Equations.

- [27] Ozawa, T., *On critical cases of Sobolev's inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal., **127** (1995), 259-269.
- [28] Sawada, O., Taniuchi, Y., *On Boussinesq flow with nondecaying initial data*, preprint
- [29] Serfati, P., *Structures holomorphes à faible régularité spatiale en mécanique des fluides*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **74** (1995), 95-104.
- [30] Serfati, P., *Solutions C^∞ en temps, $n - \log$ lipshitz bornées en espace et équation d'Euler*, C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris, t. **302** Série I, (1995), 555-558.
- [31] Stein, E.M., *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton. 1993.
- [32] Taniuchi, Y., *An application of Besov spaces to the Boussinesq equations with nondecaying initial data*, Proceedings of 2001 Applied Analysis Seminar in Kumamoto University. (2002) 13-20 (*in Japanese*)
- [33] Temam, R., *Navier-Stokes equations, Theory and Numerical Analysis*, North-Holland Publishing Company (1977)
- [34] Triebel, H., *Theory of Function Spaces II*, Birkhäuser-verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [35] Vishik, M., *Hydrodynamics in Besov spaces*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **145** (1998) 197-214.
- [36] Vishik, M., *Incompressible flows of an ideal fluid with vorticity in borderline spaces of Besov type*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **32** (1999) 769-812.
- [37] Vishik, M., *Incompressible flows of an ideal fluid with unbounded vorticity*, Commun. Math. Phys. **213** (2000) 697-731.
- [38] Yudovich, V. I., *Nonstationary flow of an ideal incompressible liquid*, Zh. Vych. Mat., **3** (1963), 1032-1066.
- [39] Yudovich, V. I., *Uniqueness theorem for the basic nonstationary problem in the dynamics of an ideal incompressible fluid*, Math. Research Letters., **2** (1995), 27-38.